IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 1775 OF 2006

Sitaram Shankar Mate ... Petitioner
Vs.
Slum Rehabilitation Authority and
Others ... Respondents

Mr. Mihir Desai for Petitioner.
Mr. G.D. Utangale for R. No. 1.
Mr. D.S. Sakhalkar for R. No. 2.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ.
DATED: AUGUST 28, 2006

P.C.:

. On behalf of the Respondent No. 2, their learned counsel on instructions makes a statement that rehabilitation building has already been constructed and the suggestion of the Petitioner as on today cannot be accepted.

. It is the case of the Petitioner they are claiming in terms of the what the builder has given to other similarly situated persons. If that be the case, that would involve disputed questions of fact which this court cannot go into. It will be open to the Petitioner to take such steps in law to which they are entitled to.

. With the above observations, Petition disposed of.

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.) **(F.I.REBELLO, J.)**